Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Growth Office of Career and Technical Preparation

Technical Review, Assistance, and Compliance (TRAC)

ON-SITE VISIT PREPARATION MANUAL

Please direct all questions concerning the TRAC process to: Office of Career and Technical Preparation, Post Office Box 30712 Lansing, MI 48909 Or, telephone (517) 373-3373

It is the policy of the Office of Career and Technical Preparation that no person on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin or ancestry, age, gender, height, weight, marital status, or disability shall be subjected to discrimination in any program, service or activity for which it is responsible, or for which it receives financial assistance from the U. S. Department of Education. For further information, contact:

Office of Civil Rights Coordinator

Office of Career and Technical Preparation, P.O. Box 30712, Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 373-2780

DL&EG/Office of Career and Technical Preparation TRAC On-site Visit Preparation Manual

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. OVERVIEW OF TRAC PROCESS 1	
Process Intended Outcomes	
II. REGION AND CIP PROGRAM SELECTION	ON OVERVIEW 3
Notification/Confirmation of Region Selection Targeted Visits CIP Program On-Site Selection Process	ction
III. TRAINING: ON-SITE VISIT PREPARAT	TON5
Training Topics	
IV. ON-SITE VISIT PROCESS 6	
Designation of Region TRAC Coordinator TRAC Training On-site Visit Preparation Activities Visit Overview Overview Attendees Documentation Review CIP Program Review Activities Individual and Group Interviews Facility Observations OCTP Team Meeting Wrap-Up	t
V. RESPONSIBILITIES: REGION TRAC CO	OORDINATOR 9
Agenda Interview Schedule CIP Program Review Documents On-site Document Organization Invitations	

Interview Schedule Coordination	
VI. RESPONSIBILITIES: CEPD ADMINISTRATOR 12	
VII. ON-SITE DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION 13	
Organization of Information for the OCTP TRAC On-Site Team:	13
VIII. APPENDICES 14	
FIVE-YEAR TRAC ON-SITE VISIT SCHEDULE - APPENDIX A	15
CTE LEGISLATIVE MANDATES – APPENDIX B	16
Federal Carl D. Perkins/Title III (Secondary)	16
Federal Carl D. Perkins/Tech Prep	
State Aid 61a(1) – Added Cost	16
SAMPLE NOTIFICATION LETTER – APPENDIX C	17
TRAC Training Letter	17
SAMPLE TRAC CONFIRMATION LETTER – APPENDIX D	18
SAMPLE TRAC ON-SITE VISIT AGENDA – APPENDIX E	19
ROOM/SPACE REQUIREMENTS CHART – APPENDIX F	
SAMPLE CEPD MEMORANDUM – APPENDIX G	
CEPD MEETING AGENDA – APPENDIX H	
CHECKLISTS – APPENDIX I	
ON-SITE VISIT INTERVIEW QUESTIONS – APPENDIX J	
CTE Instructor	
Special Populations Coordinator	
Counselors and Work-Based Learning Placement Personnel Interview Questions	
CTE Administrator/Director	
CTEIS User or Contact	
CTE Follow-Up Staff	
Business/Financial Officer	
CI Coordinator, CTE Administrator, Tech Prep Coordinator	58
STATE CRITERIA/PROCESS FOR CONTINUED APPROVAL – APPENDIX K	59
Description of Data Gathered at the State Level (over a 3-year period)	59
PRIORITY FINDING REQUIREMENTS	
Frequently Asked Questions – Appendix L	61
TRAC On-Site Visit	
TRAC CIP Self Review	
TRAC POST ON-SITE VISIT PROCESS FLOW CHART - APPENDIX M	64

I. OVERVIEW OF TRAC PROCESS

The Office of Career and Technical Preparation (OCTP) developed the Technical Review, Assistance, and Compliance process (TRAC) to identify local and regional strengths, best practices, and areas for program improvement. TRAC is also intended to facilitate communication and information exchange during the process that will assist districts with such challenges as:

- Improving program quality
- Improving program linkages with state and national standards
- Increasing support for other state initiatives
- Expanding student opportunities to achieve national certification and credentials for Career and Technical Education studies

The feedback received from the region's participants will also be used in the planning and development of future goals for Career and Technical Education and Tech Prep delivery in Michigan.

PURPOSE

The purpose of the Technical Review, Assistance, and Compliance (TRAC) system is to meet state and federal requirements for a monitoring system and provide technical assistance to local district administrators, teachers, and other staff for continual improvement of state approved Career and Technical Education (CTE) and Tech Prep programs.

PROCESS

The TRAC process combines the efforts of the OCTP staff and career and technical education regional Career Initiative Region and CEPD administrators, teachers, and postsecondary partners.

Regions must regularly review the grant activities, outcomes, and expenditures for all federal and state initiatives for which they receive funding (Appendix B).

They also must review all state-approved CTE CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) programs and their related courses within a five-year period. Regions are to select and review no less than 20% of these state-approved career and technical education programs each year.

Five regional TRAC on-site visits are conducted each year by OCTP staff. Consequently, each region will be visited at least once every five years. OCTP may conduct additional targeted visits to an agency or region when determined by department information.

Using established selection criteria and desk audit reports, including Regional Summaries of CIP self-reviews, OCTP staff review TRAC review items contained within four components of the TRAC on-site visit:

- Career and Technical Education Approved Programs (by Pathway)
- Data, Evaluation and Accountability
- Approved Grants
- Financial Program Reviews

INTENDED OUTCOMES

- 1. Review documentation submitted for state-approved career and technical education program(s) that verifies program quality and student performance.
- 2. Assure that funds have been expended appropriately and are used in compliance with federal and state policies and legislative mandates:
 - Federal Carl D. Perkins, CTE Perkins (Secondary)
 - Federal Carl D. Perkins, Tech Prep
 - State Aid 61a(1), and Added Cost
- 3. Protect against waste, fraud, and abuse.
- 4. Identify technical assistance needs.

PRIMARY GOALS

The TRAC process is designed to:

- Ensure that equal educational opportunities are provided to all students, including full opportunity to participate in programs, activities, and job opportunities and to benefit from services.
- Provide technical assistance in the development, implementation, and improvement of Career Technical Education Programs and related activities.
- Identify exemplary practices and share this information statewide.
- Monitor the use of federal and state funds, thereby assuring compliance with legislation.
- Review and verification of accurate data collection and reporting which will result in consistent statewide data to be used for Core Performance Indicators.
- Analyze, identify, and change policies and activities that impede the achievement of the above goals.

II. REGION AND CIP PROGRAM SELECTION OVERVIEW

IDENTIFICATION OF ON-SITE VISITS

Five regional on-site visits will be conducted each year by OCTP staff to review TRAC review items contained in Data, Evaluation and Accountability; Career Initiative (CI) Grants; Financial Program Reviews; Less Than Class Size; state approved Career and Technical Education programs; and articulated Tech Prep programs (Appendix A: Five-Year Schedule).

Annual selection is made based upon the following criteria:

- At least one region from each of the four OCTP Regional Team memberships each year
- Geographic location
- Representation of smaller and larger regions each year
- Traveling requirements

NOTIFICATION/CONFIRMATION OF REGION SELECTION

OCTP sends the Regional CI Coordinator and the CEPD Administrator(s) written notification of the onsite visit selection in the summer preceding the academic year in which the visit will occur (Appendix C). The letter recommends dates for the visit and includes related materials. The confirmation letter includes the name and contact information for the OCTP staff member serving as the OCTP TRAC On-Site Visit Team Leader for the region's TRAC visit (Appendix D).

TARGETED VISITS

In addition to TRAC five-year scheduled on-site visits, OCTP may also conduct limited "targeted" visits. These visits do not encompass the full TRAC process and procedures. They address very specific areas of concern or provide special/customized technical assistance to a region.

OCTP notification of "targeted" visits will be provided at least 30 days prior to the visit. OCTP selection of a region, CEPD, district, building, or CIP program for a "targeted" visit is based upon the following criteria:

- CIP program performance (exemplary or deficient)
- CIP Self Review Summary reports analyses (i.e., teacher certification or curriculum)
- Data quality and/or data collection issues
- Financial issues
- Grant management performance
- Perkins core performance indicator levels (CPI)
- Technical assistance requests from the region

CIP PROGRAM ON-SITE SELECTION PROCESS

During the on-site visit, OCTP staff will review state approved CTE CIP programs generating added cost and/or receiving federal funds. Although some of the site visits may be to programs that have completed the CIP Self-Review form as part of the 20% selected by the region, CIP program on-site selection is not limited to just those programs. Any CIP program may be selected for an on-site visit.

The following criteria are considered when CIP programs are selected to be visited during a TRAC onsite visit:

- Added Cost funding amounts (X-0108)
- Current enrollment and completion data
- Geographical location
- New program established within last five years
- New teacher for program
- OCTP program file information
- Program and building level core performance indicator data including special populations
- Regional CIP Summary Information and CIP Improvement Plans

NOTIFICATION OF CIP PROGRAM ON-SITE VISIT SELECTION

OCTP will select the CIP programs/courses for a TRAC on-site visit. Early notification of CIP program selections is only possible if the End-of-Year Enrollment and Completion data (4301 Report) has been completed and accurately submitted to OCTP by the due date. Any delay in the timely and accurate submission of this data may result in a delay of the notification of selection of these programs.

Each teacher selected to participate in the CIP program/course on-site visit must update or complete a CIP Self-Review so that it reflects the most recent year's program information. This information, including evidence must be submitted to OCTP at least 30 calendar days prior to the scheduled CIP program on-site visit.

III. TRAINING: ON-SITE VISIT PREPARATION

At least one training session is conducted annually for representatives of regional and local education agencies selected for the region on-site visit. This training is designed to review, explain, and clarify the TRAC procedures and guidelines for monitoring compliance.

A regional team of Career Initiative and CTE representatives from each of the funded initiatives and programs should attend this training (i.e.: CTE Perkins & Tech Prep, State Aid – Added Cost). Business/Financial Officers for these grants should also be present.

Details concerning participation in the training are sent directly to the Region TRAC Coordinator and CEPD Administrator(s) for each region and CEPD within the region.

TRAINING TOPICS

The training provides participants the opportunity to receive information and talk with OCTP staff about the various aspects of the upcoming TRAC on-site visit. Information materials outlining the details of the visit and the TRAC process is provided to each region. Some of the topics covered and items reviewed at the training include:

- Explanation of the on-site visit process
- Goals and objectives of the training
- Overview of the TRAC on-site visit
- Region's responsibilities
- TRAC compliance plan
- On-site Visit Preparation manual
- TRAC Training evaluation

IV. ON-SITE VISIT PROCESS

The TRAC on-site visit is the combination of review and preparation activities to provide information that supports the purpose stated in the overview of the TRAC process (page 1). Such preparation and communication culminates in a two or three day OCTP staff visit to the region and select local agencies.

NOTIFICATION AND DETERMINATION OF DATE OF VISIT

Regional representatives (CI Coordinators of the regions and CEPD Administrators of CEPDS within the regions) selected for a TRAC on-site visit are notified by letter. The letter will identify the OCTP staff member who will serve as the primary contact for the TRAC on-site visit, i.e. the OCTP TRAC On-site Visit Team Leader.

DESIGNATION OF REGION TRAC COORDINATOR

Prior to the training, the region will identify the one person within the region to serve as the contact person for all TRAC on-site coordination, i.e., the Region TRAC Coordinator. The Region TRAC Coordinator is responsible for organizing all aspects of the region's TRAC on-site visit. At the TRAC training, the Region TRAC Coordinator will be provided documents outlining the details of the TRAC process and recommended organization strategies.

The region will fax OCTP this person's name, title, mailing address, telephone, and email (Appendix C: Sample notification letter).

TRAC TRAINING

The Office of Career and Technical Preparation sponsors a TRAC Training workshop at least six (6) weeks before any TRAC on-site visit. The TRAC Training will:

- Explain the details of the visit
- Discuss the necessary preparations
- Clarify areas of concern
- Provide document/evidence organization strategies

ON-SITE VISIT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES

The OCTP TRAC On-site Visit Team Leader and the Region TRAC Coordinator will assure that all necessary arrangements for the on-site visit are done in a timely and complete manner.

VISIT OVERVIEW

The TRAC visit overview is conducted during normal working hours and should be approximately one-half hour to one hour in length.

The Region TRAC Coordinator, or designee, begins and co-conducts the meeting with the OCTP TRAC On-Site Visit Team Leader.

The Region TRAC Coordinator: (see page nine (Section V. Responsibilities: Region TRAC Coordinator) for more specific information)

- Introduces all regional, local, and postsecondary partners present
- Provides a brief overview of regional activities

The OCTP TRAC On-site Visit Team Leader:

- Introduces the OCTP TRAC on-site visit team members to regional/local personnel
- Explains the philosophy and intent of the review process
- Provides background information concerning the authority and components and procedures of the TRAC Process
- Provides an overview of on-site activities

OVERVIEW ATTENDEES

The Region TRAC Coordinator determines the location of the overview meeting, (e.g. region administrative office) and the region's participants for the meeting.

OCTP recommends that no more than 20 region/CEPD representatives of various components covered by the TRAC process attend this meeting. Previous on-site visit participants found the inclusion of representative district superintendent(s) with CTE programs to be beneficial.

The grant, finance, and data review members of the OCTP TRAC On-Site Visit Team and Team Leader attend this meeting.

DOCUMENTATION REVIEW

During the documentation review, OCTP TRAC on-site visit team examines compiled evidences and compares them with the previously approved grant applications/reports and other appropriate documentation or data.

CIP PROGRAM REVIEW ACTIVITIES

During the TRAC On-site visit, OCTP Program consultants visit pre-selected CTE CIP program classes and:

- Observe Career and Technical Education classrooms while classes are in session
- Interview a group of students, teachers, and paraprofessionals or other support staff
- Review documents presented
- · Review program facility and equipment

INDIVIDUAL AND GROUP INTERVIEWS

Using the position titles provided by OCTP, the Region TRAC Coordinator selects the personnel to be interviewed and develops the interview schedule (refer to Appendix E).

FACILITY OBSERVATIONS

All buildings and centers, especially those operating CTE classes, are to be available to TRAC on-site Visit Team members for site observation.

OCTP TEAM MEETING

Before the wrap-up, the OCTP On-Site team will meet to review the visit findings and prepare for the wrap-up.

WRAP-UP

The wrap-up is the final activity of the TRAC on-site visit. It is designed to provide the following:

- OCTP staff initial summary of the on-site visit and some findings
- Timelines for the written report of findings
- Appeals process
- An opportunity for region participants to evaluate the TRAC on-site review process

REGIONAL/LOCAL ATTENDEES AT WRAP-UP

The Region TRAC Coordinator identifies and invites the regional/local representatives to attend this meeting. OCTP recommends that those who attended the overview be present for the wrap-up, as well as other staff members interested in the general findings of the TRAC on-site visit team. The maximum number of attendees should not exceed 20 participants.

POST ON-SITE VISIT PROCESS

See Appendix M for flow chart of the Post On-Site Visit Process

V. RESPONSIBILITIES: REGION TRAC COORDINATOR

The Region TRAC Coordinator serves as the Region Representative and makes all arrangements for the regional on-Site visit before the review date. This section provides the coordinator with additional information necessary to plan the agenda and the TRAC on-site visit.

PRIOR TO ON-SITE VISIT

PLANNING

It is important to consider the size of the region while making plans and scheduling activities for the TRAC on-site visit. In general, TRAC on-site visits are conducted in three days by an OCTP TRAC on-site team consisting of six to eight members.

The following activities need to occur early in the planning stage so that participants have ample time to accommodate the activities within their schedules:

- Notify appropriate regional/local staff members and postsecondary partners who need to participate
- Determine the time and location of the overview and include in the prepared agenda (please refer to Appendix E Sample Agenda).
- CIP Program documentation submitted to OCTP TRAC On-Site Coordinator

We request that the Region TRAC Coordinator confer with the OCTP TRAC On-site Coordinator before finalizing the interview schedule.

AGENDA

At least three (3) weeks prior to visit, submit draft of the final agenda to the OCTP TRAC On-site Coordinator for approval.

The following components are to be included in the agenda:

- Region number and name
- TRAC on-site visit date
- Agency name, address, and phone number where the majority of the TRAC on-site visit activities will occur (i.e. documentation review, interviews, etc.)
- Time, location, and room number for:
 - Overview please schedule the overview to begin by 9:00 a.m.
 - Document review
 - Lunch break for OCTP staff (can be same as document review room)
 - Individual and group interviews (permanent rooms). Please include all interviewee's names and position titles
 - OCTP team review (can be same as document review room)
 - CIP Program observation and review
 - Wrap-up

INTERVIEW SCHEDULE

The Region TRAC Coordinator schedules the following personnel, or positions, for formal interviews. If an individual performs multiple roles, they only need to be scheduled once. However, each CEPD is expected to be represented in all interviews.

- Tech Prep Coordinator (postsecondary)
- CTE Directors
- Area center administrator(s)
- Sending School Counselor(s), Special Pops Coordinator(s) and Work-Based Learning Coordinator(s)
- CEPD Administrator(s)
- CI Coordinator(s)-Perkins, Tech Prep
- Business/Financial Officer(s) from CTE Perkins, Tech Prep, and pre-selected Added Cost Fiscal Agencies
- CTEIS Data input and Follow-up Survey staff

CIP PROGRAM REVIEW DOCUMENTS

The following CIP program review documents must be sent to the OCTP TRAC On-Site Coordinator four weeks prior to the on-site visit. This maximizes time for communication and technical assistance during the CIP on-site visit.

- Updated CIP Self-Review Forms, including evidences
- Current class list (can be cross-walked with 4483)
- Master class schedule (times of class)
- Weekly teaching schedules with start and end times and sections designated for all districts and agencies running approved programs.
- On-site information such as: program location in building, parking location at building, appropriate entrance, site contact person name
- District maps to buildings

ON-SITE DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION

The document review portion of the TRAC on-site visit usually takes an entire day. All requested documents, materials, and records are to be organized and available in a central location. This is extremely important if the visit is to proceed as scheduled

INVITATIONS

OCTP encourages Region TRAC Coordinators to include Superintendents, Directors, and other staff members who may be interested in the TRAC review.

BUILDING STAFF NOTIFICATION OF TRAC VISIT

It is important that the Region TRAC Coordinator notify all relevant staff that an on-site visit will be conducted at least two weeks prior to the scheduled date. It has been found to be helpful to send building staff a separate letter that advises them of the OCTP TRAC on-site visit, alerts them that OCTP staff will be in the building that day, and that some building staff will be participating in the on-site visit.

ON-SITE VISIT ACTIVITIES

OPENING REMARKS AND OVERVIEW

The Region TRAC Coordinator, or designee, begins the overview:

- Introduces all regional, local, and postsecondary partners present
- Provides a brief overview of regional activities, such as:
 - General philosophy of the agency
 - Geographic dimensions of the region and CEPDs
 - Size and demographics of the school population
 - Regional Career Development activities
 - Type of CTE programs and related services offered
 - Tech Prep Articulation Process/alignment with postsecondary programs
 - Strong points and problem areas of the region.

INTERVIEW SCHEDULE COORDINATION

The Region TRAC Coordinator or designee:

- Monitors the interview schedule
- Assists participants in adhering to schedule
- Makes necessary adjustments to meet TRAC interview requirements (refer to Appendix E: Sample Agenda)

DOCUMENT REVIEW FACILITATION

The Region TRAC Coordinator, or designee, facilitates the TRAC document review process by:

- Assuring all documentation is organized and available for review
- Obtaining missing documentation
- Providing copies of requested items

VI. RESPONSIBILITIES: CEPD ADMINISTRATOR

For a successful TRAC experience, OCTP staff recommends that the CEPD Administrator conduct a meeting with all building administrators and staff who will be visited during the TRAC on-site review.

To facilitate the notification and submission of information and evidence, it is essential that program teachers receive the information about the upcoming on-site visit as soon as possible so they can prepare. Building administrators need to be able to provide access to the programs and the teachers and/or any other information that the OCTP program consultant will need during the CIP program on-site visit.

The CIP Self-Review must be completed or updated within 60 calendar days of notification of the visit by all teachers for the CIP programs selected by OCTP staff for a CIP program on-site visit.

CHECKLIST FOR CEPD ADMINISTRATOR:

COMPLETED	ACTIVITY
	CIP programs notified
	Meeting scheduled to discuss the TRAC process with building administrator(s) and staff.
	Work with CIP programs to collect information for the CIP program notebook
	Submission procedure and deadline
	Documents to be sent to OCTP are reviewed
	Documents submitted to OCTP TRAC On-site Visit Coordinator (Must be submitted at least 30 days prior to on-site visit)
	Plan for on-site visit and information

No less than four weeks prior to the CIP Program on-site visits, mail documents to:

Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth
Office of Career and Technical Preparation
TRAC On-site Visit Coordinator
P.O. Box 30712
Lansing MI 48909

12

VII. ON-SITE DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION

The following materials, documents, and records are to be gathered, organized, and available for review in the room designated for use as the "Documents Room" (refer to Appendix F: Room/Space Requirements).

Documentation reviews are conducted for five areas:

- Career Initiative (CI) Grants (CTE Perkins, Tech Prep)
- Financial (Added Cost, CTE Perkins, Tech Prep)
- Data, Evaluation, and Accountability
- Less Than Class Size and Teacher Certification (when requested)

TRAC On-Site checklists have been developed and assist in the understanding, identification, and compilation of supportive evidence/documentation for each critical element being reviewed during the TRAC on-site visit (refer to Appendix I: TRAC On-Site Checklists).

ORGANIZATION OF INFORMATION FOR THE OCTP TRAC ON-SITE TEAM:

- I. Each review item is to have a folder labeled with the review item number. (Previously visited regions have attached a copy of the corresponding On-Site checklists to the folder. We have found that to be very helpful in expediting the review process).
- II. Label each piece of evidence with the item that it supports.
- III. Place all evidence supportive of a review item in the review item's respective folder(s).
- IV. Organize the folders in the order of the On-Site checklists review item codes.

Example:

Review Item: G01

Label 1st folder – G01. <u>Label each piece of evidence/document</u>, with the review item that it addresses (G01). Place all evidence supportive of this item in this folder.

Review Item: G02

Label 2nd folder – G02. <u>Label each piece of evidence/document</u> with the review item that it addresses (G02). Place all evidence supportive of this item in this folder.

NOTE: If one piece of evidence is being offered as supportive documentation for more than one item, please:

For large documents, place a sheet in each applicable folder that lists the review item folder in which the original can be found.

For small documents (1-10 pp.), make a copy for each review item folder.

If a manual or other large document is provided as evidence mark (highlight, underline, flag) the page/or pages and paragraph that show evidence.

VIII. APPENDICES

- A. Five Year TRAC On-site Visit Schedule
- B. CTE Legislative Mandates
- C. Sample Notification Letter
- D. Sample Confirmation Letter
- E. Sample Agenda
- F. Room/Space Requirement Chart
- G. Sample CEPD Memorandum CIP Program On-Site Visit
- H. Sample CEPD Meeting Agenda
- I. TRAC On-Site Checklists
- J. On-Site Interview Questions
- K. State Criteria/Process For Continued Approval
- L. Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)
- M. Post On-Site Visit Flow Chart

FIVE-YEAR TRAC ON-SITE VISIT SCHEDULE

<u>Year</u>	CTE Perkins/Tech Prep Fiscal Agencies
2003-04 Region 4 Region 11 Region 16 Region 20 Region 24	Alpena Public Schools Sanilac ISD/Huron ISD Macomb ISD Kalamazoo RESA/St. Joseph ISD Detroit City School District
2004-2005 Region 7 Region 19 Region 22 Region 23 Region 25	Mecosta-Osceola ISD/Ferris State University Lewis Cass ISD/Berrien ISD Hillsdale ISD Washtenaw ISD Wayne RESA/Monroe County ISD
2005-2006 Region 3 Region 8 Region 14 Region 17 Region 21	Eastern Upper Peninsula ISD Bay-Arenac ISD/Delta College Clinton Co. RESA/Lansing Community College Livingston ESA Calhoun ISD
2006-2007 Region 1 Region 2 Region 9 Region 10 Region 15	Gogebic-Ontonagon ISD Delta-Schoolcraft ISD/Marquette-Alger RESA Muskegon Area ISD Montcalm Area ISD Genesee ISD
2007-2008 Region 5 Region 6 Region 12 Region 13 Region 18	Wexford-Missaukee ISD/Traverse Bay Area ISD Clare-Gladwin RESD/COOR ISD Ottawa Area ISD Kent ISD/Grand Rapids Community College Oakland Schools

CTE LEGISLATIVE MANDATES

Federal Carl D. Perkins/Title III (Secondary)

The Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 (Perkins III) provides federal funding to some programs to support and improve career and technical education.

The Act focuses on strengthening academics and career and technical education skills through high standards and curriculum integration. It also broadens professional development and technical assistance opportunities for career and technical education administrators and supports linking secondary and postsecondary career and technical education programs. Although there is flexibility for the use of funds at the local and regional level, there is a focus on accountability for all CTE students, including measures for all of the categories of special populations. While the legislation no longer provides set-aside funds for single parent and gender equity programs and services, it is anticipated that these programs and services will continue to be supported at the regional and local level through funding for special populations.

Federal Carl D. Perkins/Tech Prep

Tech Prep education provides technical preparation to students in grades 11-14, each having an education development plan that combines two years of secondary and two years of postsecondary education in a sequential course of study carried out under a written program articulation agreement. The aligned curriculum provides for a sequence of curriculum competencies, courses, and outcomes that include two years at the secondary level (grades 11 and 12), and two years at the postsecondary level culminating in a two-year certificate, associate degree, or two-year registered apprenticeship. The curricula must be reviewed, updated, and agreed upon by consortium/regional partners on an annual basis.

State Aid 61a(1) – Added Cost

Added Cost funds are appropriated by the state legislature as categorical state aid (Section 61a(1) of the State School Aid Act) in support of secondary career and technical education programs. These funds are allocated to school districts and area centers for the purpose of reimbursing the extra cost of these programs above the cost of non-vocational programs.

16

SAMPLE NOTIFICATION LETTER

TRAC Training Letter
<date></date>
Region CI Coordinator
RE: Technical Review Assistance and Compliance (TRAC) Training
The Office of Career and Technical Preparation (OCTP) is required to monitor compliance with state and federal law and policy for: Carl D. Perkins, Title I (Secondary CTE) and Title II (Tech Prep); State School Aid Act, Section 61a(1) (Added Cost); Section 61a(2) (Administrator Reimbursement).
This letter is to inform you that your region has been selected for a Technical Review, Assistance, and Compliance (TRAC) on-site review of the policies, procedures, programs, and services provided to Career and Technical Education students during the academic year. This on-site visit also provides our office with the opportunity to identify exemplary practices and provide technical assistance.
We request that you designate/nominate one person for your region to serve as the Region TRAC Coordinator, the primary contact for the on-site visit arrangements and to coordinate region staff attendance at the TRAC training. The OCTP On-site Visit Team Leader will contact the region CI Coordinator to learn the name of the designated TRAC Coordinator and to determine mutually acceptable visitation dates.
A one-day training to explain the TRAC process and to help you prepare for our visit is scheduled for from in Lansing (lunch will be provided). Workshop attendance is crucial to understanding the requirements and preparation needed for a smooth visit. All essential documentation will be provided at the training. The following region representation should attend the workshop:
 CI Coordinator CEPD Administrator(s) Business office representative for each grant (CTE Secondary, Tech Prep) Secondary/Postsecondary Tech Prep Coordinator(s) Data collection personnel from each CEPD Coordinator(s) of on-site document preparation
Once we have received your registration form, a map and agenda will be provided the Region TRAC Coordinator. Please complete and fax the registration form by to Cynthia McFall at (517) 373-8776.

SAMPLE TRAC CONFIRMATION LETTER

Date
Region CI Coordinator
RE: Technical Review Assistance and Compliance (TRAC) On-Site Visit Dates
This letter is to confirm the dates for your region's TRAC On-Site visit. Office of Career and Technical Preparation (OCTP) staff will conduct this visit on January,,, 200 OCTP program consultants will be visiting the selected CIP programs on the first and second days of the visit. In addition, an on-site team will conduct their reviews on the second and third days of the visit. A six to eight member team who will be looking at four areas of compliance, CIP Programming, Data/Evaluation, Grants, and Finance will conduct the on-site review. The team will be reviewing documentation for the grant years of 2000_ for CTE Perkins and Tech Prep.
Your OCTP On-Site Visit Team Leader is If you have any questions concerning the TRAC on-site visit, please contact by telephone (517) or e-mail@michigan.gov.
Finally, as noted in our letter, date, a one-day TRAC Training is scheduled for It is important that your registration sheet be faxed to Cynthia McFall, at (517) 373-8776, no later than Be sure to identify the region primary contact (Region TRAC Coordinator) and the list of people attending the training.
We look forward to working together with you to ensure quality Career and Technical Education programs for all students.
Sincerely,
Director Office of Career and Technical Preparation
cc: CEPD Administrator(s)

SAMPLE TRAC ON-SITE VISIT AGENDA

(use with appendix F room/space requirements chart)

Region # - Name Educational Agency Name Address City, State Zip Phone Date

DAY 1

CIP Program On-site Visits - (On-site reviews conducted at local building sites)

DAY 2

CIP Program On-site Visits

TRAC On-site Visit of Data & Evaluations, Finance, and Grants

9:00 a.m. Overview

9:30 – 12:00 Data, Finance, Grant, Less Than Class Size document review begins

12:00 p.m. Working Lunch, OCTP TRAC Team

1:00 p.m. TRAC Team Document review meeting with Region TRAC On-site Coordinator

and CEPD Administrators. Discussion of additional document or interview

needs for the review.

3:00 p.m. OCTP TRAC On-site Visit Team meets to identify needs for Day 3

DAY 3

OCTP CIP Program On-site Visit Team joins OCTP TRAC On-site Visit Team Document Review continues

Interviews (interview schedule below)

IIItei	views (interview schedule below	,		
	Interview Schedule (need th	ree separate private rooms for these	interviews)	
Room 1		Room 2	Room 3	
	GRANT	FINANCIAL	DATA	
	9:00 a.m.	9:00 a.m.	9:00 a.m.	
	Group Interview	Group Interview	CTEIS Input Staff	
Grant Cl	I Coordinator(s)	Business/Financial	9:30 a.m.	
		Coordinator-CTE Perkins	Follow-Up Survey Staff	
Grant C	ΓΕ Administrator(s)	Business/Financial	10:00 a.m.	
	· ,	Coordinator-Tech Prep	CTE Administrator(s)	
Grant Te	ech Prep Coordinator(s)	Business/Financial		
	•	Coordinator(s) Added Cost for		
		selected fiscal agencies		
	10:00 a.m.	NOTE:		
		Business/Financial officials should		
	Group Interview	be available by phone for		
Counselo	rs and Work-Based Learning	questions if not available in person		
Placement personnel		for interview.		
	10:30 a.m.			
	Group Interview			
Special	Populations Coordinator(s)			
11:30	OCTP Team Working Lunch	(document review room)		
p.m.		•		
12:15	Group session with CEPD Ad	ministrators and OCTP TRAC On-Site	e Team Leader (Need	
p.m.	private room to accommodate up to 10 people)			
1:00 p.m.	00 p.m. OCTP Team Caucus in document review room			
2:00 p.m.	Wrap Up			

Room/Space Requirements Chart (use with Appendix E Sample Agenda)

Activity	Room	Other Specific Requirements
CIP On-site Program Visit	Classroom visits while class is in session (see specific requirements)	 Teachers and students are available for brief interviews Substitute for teacher during interview Possible need for transportation to classroom sites Private secure place for consultant review
OCTP Team document review and meeting room	Room that seats 12 people and contains all region documents to be reviewed	 Private, quiet area without interruptions that can be secured and in use for two consecutive days. Large table with access to power sources for laptops Very good lighting Accessibility to copier Available phone and internet access All documents: Highlighted or flagged, boxed and organized in order of TRAC review items listed on preparation sheets.
Overview and Wrap Up	Room that seats at least 30 people	 Preferred room arrangement is the "round table" or "square" setting. Private, quiet area without interruptions At least one writing surface Adequate lighting
Three Interview Rooms	Space for individual or small group interviews	 Private, quiet area without interruptions Table and seating for all participants

Sample CEPD Memorandum for CIP Program On-Site Visit (Sent to Career and Technical Education (CTE) Teachers and Building Administrators for Selected CIP Program On-Site Visits)

MEMORAN	DUM
DATE:	
TO:	Career and Technical Education (CTE) Teachers Building Administrators for Selected CIP Program On-Site Visits
FROM:	CEPD and/or CTE Administrator(s)
SUBJECT:	Office of Career and Technical Preparation Review of State-Approved CTE Programs
Career and T	e Technical Assistance, Review and Compliance (TRAC) on-site visit, the Office of echnical Preparation (OCTP) has selected your program for an on-site visit. OCTP will be conducting their on-site visit in our region on (DATES).
	aled a meeting with you and your building administrator to prepare for this visit. is on to at
discuss the or	or the materials that are to be prepared and submitted to OCTP prior to the visit; and n-site visit and review process and procedure when the state program consultant assroom and building.
To prepare fo	or our meeting, please bring the following:
(CEP	D Administrator can list things they want the program to bring to the meeting.)
Education (C students. The administrator	or building administrator, you are undoubtedly aware that a Career and Technical TE) program review is an important part of insuring quality instructional delivery to e TRAC process is designed to be a growth experience for teachers and rs; and will be used by our department to identify your areas of concern and enable technical assistance where needed.
	further questions concerning the document or process, please contact me at or an OCTP program consultant. A list of OCTP consultants and their area of
concentration	n are available at is attached.

Quick Reference Guide for Secondary School ProgramsOffice of Career and Technical Preparation

Office of Career and Technical Preparation Post Office Box 30712 Lansing, Michigan 48909 (517) 373-3373

	(A	Area Code 517)
Added Cost Allocations & Expenditure Policy	Jill Kroll	241-4354
Added Cost (State Aid) Expenditure Report (4033)	Cynthia McFall	335-3149
Added Cost Funds (X0107 Secondary Funding Report)	Joan Church	335-0360
Administrator Approval	Joanne Mahony	335-0300
	•	
Advisory Committee Toolkit	Christine Reiff	241-0260
America's Career Resource Network (ACRN) Grant	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Ancillary Data Reports	Jill Kroll	241-4354
Application for Full-Time CTE Administrator (CTE-4003)	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Arts & Communications Pathway	Lynne Listeman	373-6731
Articulation Issues	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Automotive Youth Educational System (AYES)	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Business Management, Marketing & Technology Pathway (BMA, Finance, IT & Marketing)	Karen Pohja	335-0381
Career Clusters	Carol Clark	241-4355
	Glenna Zollinger-Russell	241-2072
Career Education Conference	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Career Initiatives Grant Project	Glenna Zollinger-Russell	241-2072
Career Initiatives Grant Project Career Initiatives End-of-Year Reports	Brenda Mazuca	335-0382
Career Portal	Donna Beltz	373-8204
Career Initiatives Funding & Participation	Brenda Mazuca	335-0382
Career Technical Student Organizations	Jill Kroll	241-4354
	Carol Clark	241-4355
Career Technical Student Organizations Newsletter	Donna Beltz	373-8204
CEPD Options Form	Joan Church	335-0360
Civil Rights Compliance (OCR)	Norma Tims	241-2091
Cooperative Agreements (CTE Perkins)	Brenda Mazuca	335-0382
Construction Roundtable	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Core Performance Indicators	Jill Kroll	241-4354
Counseling/Counselor Education	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Criminal Offender Grants (Adult)	Lynne Listeman	373-6731
CTE Teacher Education Grants	Brenda Mazuca	335-0382
	Glenna Zollinger-Russell	241-2072
CTEIS Data System	Jill Kroll	241-4354
Curriculum Articulation Issues	Pathway Consultants	211 1331
		
Department of Human Services (Juvenile Offender) Grant	Brenda Mazuca	335-0382
Detroit Area Pre-College Engineering Program (DAPCEP)	Donna Beltz	373-8204
Detroit Automotive Dealers Association (DADA)	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
, ,	Joanne Manony	333-0403
Engineering, Manufacturing & Industrial Technology	Patricia Talbott-Dills	335-0359
Pathway (T&I)		
Employers As Partners	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Entrepreneurship	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Excellence in Practice Awards	Donna Beltz	373-8204
Family & Consumer Sciences (FCS) (LME)	Norma Tims	241-2091
Final Enrollment & Termination Data/Resources (4301)	Cynthia McFall	335-3149
Follow-Up Survey of Program Completers	Jill Kroll	241-4354
Fourth Wednesday Enrollment Report (4483 A&D)	Joan Church	335-0360
1 out at 11 outlooding Enformment Report (4705 fleeb)		555 0500

		rippendix G
Gender Equity	Norma Tims	241-2091
Grand Rapids Area Pre-Engineering Program (GRAPCEP)	Donna Beltz	373-8204
Grant Administration	Saundra Carter	335-0372
Health Sciences Pathway (Allied Health Programs)	Carol Clark	241-4355
Hospitality & Food Services	Karen Pohja	335-0381
Human Services Pathway	Carol Clark	241-4355
(Child & Custodial Care Services, Cosmetology,		
Teacher Cadet, Public Safety/Protective Services)		
Leap Ahead Kit Information	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Legislative Requests	Tom Martin	373-7486
Less-Than-Class Size Contracted Instruction Programs	Diana Bailey	373-8904
Letter of Intent/New Program Planning Process	Diana Bailey	373-8904
MEGS	Saundra Carter	335-0372
Michigan Automobile Dealers Association (MADA)	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Michigan Comprehensive Guidance & Counseling Programs	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Michigan Rehabilitation Partnership	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Natural Resources & Agriscience Pathway	Randy Showerman	355-3562
New Administrator Orientation	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
New Teacher Workshops	Carol Clark	241-4355
	Norma Tims	241-2091
Parents as Partners	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Perkins Act Coordination	Saundra Carter	335-0372
Perkins Regional Allocation Determination	Brenda Mazuca	335-0382
Perkins Regional Secondary Grants	Diana Bailey	373-8904
Perkins State Plan	Saundra Carter	335-0372
Postsecondary Liaison	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Professional Development	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Project Lead the Way	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Risk Management/Interagency Labor Law Compliance	Pathway Consultants	
School-to-Registered Apprenticeship/ & Articulation	Glenn Bivins, BAT	377-1746
Special Populations, Programs & Services	Lynne Listeman	373-6731
State Board Continuing Education Units (SB CEUs)	Donna Beltz	373-8204
Teacher Certification/Annual Authorization	Glenna Zollinger-Russell	241-2072
Teacher Preparation Issues/Supply & Demand/	Glenna Zollinger-Russell	241-2072
Alternative Certification		
Tech Prep	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Technical Review Assistance & Compliance (TRAC)	Cynthia McFall	335-3149
Technology Education	Christine Reiff	241-0260
Title IX Coordinator	Norma Tims	241-2091
Transitions Services Liaison	Joanne Mahony	335-0405
Work-Based Learning/Coop	Diana Bailey	373-8904
Work Permit Information	Diana Bailey	373-8904
	•	

Appendix G

SAMPLE

CEPD Meeting Agenda

(For Teacher and Building Administrator Meeting)

Location

Date and Time

- 1. Overview of the OCTP TRAC Process
- 2. CIP programs that have been scheduled for visitation
 - a. Procedure for communicating with Regional TRAC Coordinator
 - b. Submission of document to OCTP prior to on-site visit

3. PRIOR TO CIP PROGRAM ON-SITE VISIT PROCESS

The following evidence must be sent to OCTP 30 calendar days prior to the on-site visit.

- a. PREPARED NOTEBOOK containing:
 - 1) Updated (within the previous 60 days) CIP Self-Review Form for selected programs
 - 2) Copies of evidence supporting CIP Self-Review, for example
 - Copy of Teacher Certification
 - Current Articulation Agreements for Tech Prep
 - Program budget information (do not include invoices)
- b. Additional evidence:
 - 1) Current class list (can be cross-walked with 4483)
 - 2) Master class schedule (times of class)
 - 3) Master Schedule for building where program is located
 - 4) Contact person at the school along with sign-in procedure
 - 5) Parking location and building security procedures
 - 6) Location of main office
 - 7) Directions to program teacher's room
 - 8) Directions to off-site program locations
 - 9) Interview schedule and locations
- 4. DAY OF CIP PROGRAM ON-SITE VISIT (allow 2 hours to ½ day for each program)
 - a. OCTP Consultant will check in at program location
 - 1) Discuss and confirm Interview schedule and locations
 - 2) Observation of program in operation
 - 3) Assessment of facility/ equipment
 - 4) Review of on-site documents
 - 5) Interviews
 - Teacher/Instructor
 - Student
 - 6) Exit interview with building contact and teacher(s)
- 5. POST ON-SITE VISIT AND NEXT STEPS
- 6. OCTP recommends that copies of the required evidence be placed in a notebook in order of the information requested in the CIP Program Self-Review. Please send all information in paper format; do not send it electronically.

CHECKLISTS

D01 – Non-public School Participation			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Regions have provided for students enrolled in private or reli	gious sec	ondary sch	nools or home
schools to participate in CTE programs.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Non public schools are aware of the CTE programs.		<u> </u>	
Non public schools have been invited to participate in programs.			
Non public schools have been invited to participate in planning of grant activities.	<u> </u>		
Non public school students have access to CTE programs. POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Provide evidence for all districts identified by OCTP			
One or more of the following: a. Letters and list of recipients receiving the letters, providing non-public school programs. b. Examples of replies from nonpublic schools c. Sign-in sheets from meetings, etc., showing participation of non-public entities and One or more of the following: d. Letters and list of recipients receiving the letters, inviting non-public schools e. Examples of replies from nonpublic schools f. Sign-in sheets from meetings showing participation of non-public entities and	es		
One or more of the following: g. Letters and list of recipients receiving the letters, inviting non-public schools Perkins III and Tech Prep grant activities. h. Examples of replies from nonpublic schools i. Sign-in sheets from meetings showing participation of non-public entities and Enrollment records of Non-public Students in district CTE programs in the region (44)		pate in the	planning of
D02 (F. J. D			
D02 – Tech Prep - Program Accessibility		E 1 D	1
REVIEW CRITERIA: Students who are members of special populations have full as	ccess to	Tech Prep	programs and
program-related services. CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Special Populations students have full access to Tech Prep programs.			TV/A
Special Pops Students receive Tech Prep program related services.	H		
Other:			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Provide evidence for all districts identified by OCTP			
For Secondary and Post-Secondary: one or more of the following: a. Enrollment records and documents showing special populations students enrosecondary special populations students who graduated and continued in post-secondary special populations students and activities targeting special populations students. b. Tech Prep outreach materials and activities targeting special populations students. a. Counseling records showing services provided to special populations students. b. Records of accommodations and/ or support services provided to Tech Prep students.	secondary ents. s enrolled	in Tech Pr	ep programs,

D03 – Perkins, Tech Prep – Quality Data			
REVIEW CRITERIA: CPI data collected and reported was complete and accurate.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
1S1 Academic Achievement data collected was complete and accurate.			
1S2 Technical Achievement data collected was complete and accurate.			
2S1 High School Completion data collected was complete and accurate.			
3S1 Placement data collected was complete and accurate.			
4S1 Non-traditional enrollment data collected was complete and accurate.			
4S2 Non-traditional completion data collected was complete and accurate			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Provide the following evidence for all of the CIP program Evidence for 1S1, 1S2, 2S1, 4S1, and 4S2: Semester and final enrollment, program completion status, and grade records and	sections to	entinea b	your
One or more of the following: a. Documentation of CTEIS training for data entry personnel (verification b. User surveys, c. Interviews with staff, including use of data code manual. and List of personnel who provide the student data (i.e. grades, program completion status special populations category). and District endorsed written procedures concerning d. Use of data back-up procedures and schedule (interviews with staff). e. Written procedures for verifying accuracy of CTE data entered into SRS	s, high scho		
Evidence for 3S1 One or more of the following: f. Documentation of interviewer training for follow-up survey (workshop interviews with staff, etc), g. Use of interviewer manual, use of appropriate interview methodology (interviews with staff). h. Documentation of in-house training of data entry and interview staff.			•

D04 – Perkins - Special Population Services			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Districts have assessed and met the needs of special populations.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Special Populations students have received support services to enable them to			
succeed in CTE programs.			
Activities related to the assessment of and meeting the needs of special populations			
reported on the End-of-Year report were accurate and complete.			
Outcomes related to the assessment of and meeting the needs of special populations reported on the End-of-Year report were accurate and complete.			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Provide evidence for all districts identified by OCTP			
One or more of the following:			
a. Documents showing the assessment of the needs of special populations stude	nts enterin	ig or partic	cipating in
CTE programs, such as:			
i. Counseling records			
ii. Documents showing assessment of needs			
iii. Other			
and and			
b. Documents showing the provision of support services and the evaluation of the	ne outcom	e to specia	al populations
students entering or participating in CTE programs, such as:			
i. Support services roster			
ii. Use of para-professionals			
iii. Other.			
and	1 4 - 41		£
One or more of the following: documents showing that planned grant activities related the needs of special populations occurred. Will vary by type of activity; such as:	i to the ass	sessment o	and meeting
c. Sign-in sheets for professional development d. Purchased materials			
e. Lesson plans			
f. Student work.			
and			
One or more of the following: documents showing that outcomes, related to the asses	sment of a	and meetin	g the needs of
special populations, were achieved as reported on the EOY report; such as:			<i>C</i>
g. Self-report surveys of teachers,			
h. Surveys showing parents' or students' changes in perceptions or practices,			
i. Student work that shows change over time.			
i Other			

D05a – Core Performance Indicator Related-Activities			
Academic and Technical			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Vocational and technical education activities were carried out to a	meet the		
State-adjusted levels of performance.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
1S1 Academic Achievement – Core Performance Indicator data results were utilized for program improvement.			
1S1 Academic Achievement Core Performance Indicator data results were shared with vocational instruction staff.			
1S2 Technical Achievement Core Performance Indicator data results were utilized for program improvement.			
1S2 Technical Achievement Core Performance Indicator data results were shared with vocational instructors.			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Submit the following evidence only for the CPIs needing im	provemen	t:	
Provide evidence for all districts identified by OCTP			
CPI 1S1: One or more of the following: a. CTE teacher professional development on how to improve student MEAP scoretakes as well as integration of content into curriculum i. Sign-in sheets ii. Agendas iii. Presenter notes. b. CPI (including special populations category data) reviewed and used by distriprogram improvement i. Written notes, ii. Memos, iii. Interviews with staff			
and □ Documentation that CPI results were shared with appropriate staff (teachers, local admodelease) c. Sign-in sheets d. Agendas e. Handouts f. Written notes CPI 1S2: □ One or more of the following: g. CTE teacher professional development on how to improve student technical astandards and benchmarks, documentation of student participation in CTSO's i. Attendance or sign-in sheets ii. CTSO meeting records iii. CTSO competition participation records h. CPI (including special populations category data) reviewed and used by distriprogram improvement i. Written notes, ii. Memos,	ichievemen ::	t, includin	g skill
iii. Interviews with staff			
	ninistrators.	, counselor	rs):

D05a Academic and Technical (co	ontinued)
viii. Recruitment materia ix. Use of "feeder" clas x. Participation lists fo	at efforts and support targeted to non-traditional students, such as: als that depict non-traditional occupations; asses with gender-fair classrooms; or open houses for non-traditional students and parents. ations category data) reviewed and used by district, program, and building for
iv. Sign-in sheets,v. Agendas,vi. Handouts,vii. Notes,	shared with appropriate staff (teachers, local administrators, counselors): das, notes, etc. from CTE teacher and counselor professional development on how itional enrollment.

D05b - Core Performance Indicator Related-Activities			
Completion and Placement			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Vocational and technical education activities were carried out to	meet the		
State-adjusted levels of performance.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
2S1 High School Completion Core Performance Indicator data results were	П	П	
utilized for program improvement.			
2S1 High School Completion Core Performance Indicator data results were	П	П	
shared with vocational instructors.			
3S1 Placement Core Performance Indicator data results were utilized for			
program improvement.		Ш	
3S1 Placement Core Performance Indicator data results were shared with	П	П	
vocational instructors.			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Submit the following evidence only for the CPIs needing in Provide evidence for all districts identified by OCTP CPI 2S1: One or more of the following: j. CTE teacher professional development on how to improve student high school i. Attendance or sign-in sheets ii. CTSO meeting records iii. CTSO competition participation records k. CPI (including special populations category data) reviewed and used by distriprogram improvement i. Written notes, ii. Memos, iii. Interviews with staff and	ol completic	on ı, and buildi	
Documentation that CPI results were shared with appropriate staff (teachers, local admiv. Sign-in sheets, v. Agendas, vi. Handouts,	ninistrators,	counselors):
vii. Notes			

005b Completion and Placement (continued)
CPI 3S1:
One or more of the following:
1. Documentation of placement assistance to CTE program completers, such as:
i. Placement coordinator task sheets,
ii. Business/industry contact lists,
iii. Participation lists for placement/career fairs,
iv. Participation agreements for internships, job shadows, mentorships or other work-based experiences.
m. CPI (including special populations category data) reviewed and used by district, program, and building for
program improvement
i. Written notes,
ii. Memos,
iii. Interviews with staff
<u>and</u>
Documentation that CPI results were shared with appropriate staff (teachers, local administrators, counselors):
iv. Sign-in sheets,
v. Agendas,
vi. Handouts,
vii. Notes
viii. CTE teacher professional development on how to improve placement – sign-in sheets, agendas, or
notes.

D05c – Core Performance Indicator Related-Activities			
Non-Trad Enrollment and Completion REVIEW CRITERIA: Vocational and technical education activities were carried out to recognition.	4 41		
	neet the		
State-adjusted levels of performance. CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
4S1 Non-traditional enrollment Core Performance Indicator data results were	res	NO	IN/A
utilized for program improvement.			
4S1 Non-Traditional enrollment Core Performance Indicator data results were			
shared with vocational instructors.			
4S2 Non-traditional completion Core Performance Indicator data results were			
utilized for program improvement			
4S2 Non-traditional completion Core Performance Indicator data results were			
shared with vocational instructors.			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Submit the following evidence only for the CPIs needing im	provemen	t:	
Provide evidence for all districts identified by OCTP			
CPI 4S1:			
One or more of the following:			
n. Documentation of recruitment efforts and support targeted to non-traditional s	students, su	ch as:	
i. Recruitment materials that depict non-traditional occupations;			
ii. Use of "feeder" classes with gender-fair classrooms;			
iii. Participation lists for open houses for non-traditional students and pa		11 111	C
o. CPI (including special populations category data) reviewed and used by distri	ct, program	i, and buildi	ng for
program improvement,			
i. Memos,			
ii. Interviews with staff,			
iii. Written notes			
and Documentation that CPI results were shared with appropriate staff (teachers, local adm	inistrators,	counselors):
iv. Sign-in sheets,			
v. Agendas,			
vi. Handouts,			

D05c Non-Trad Enrollment and Completion (continued) vi. Notes, vii. Sign-in sheets agendas, notes, etc. from CTE teacher and counselor professional development on how to improve non-traditional enrollment. **CPI 4S2**: One or more of the following: Documentation of support to non-traditional students such as: i. Support groups, ii. Mentors, iii. Support services, iv. Exit interviews, other. CPI (including special populations category data) reviewed and used by district, program, and building for program improvement i. Written notes, ii. Memos, iii. Interviews with staff and Documentation that CPI results were shared with appropriate staff (teachers, local administrators, counselors): iv. Sign-in sheets, v. Agendas, vi. Handouts Sign-in sheets agendas, notes, etc. from CTE teacher, and counselor professional development on how to improve non-traditional program completion.

D06 – Added Cost - Quality Data			
REVIEW CRITERIA: The CEPD/District has submitted complete and accurate data utilized for the calculation of Added			
Cost funds.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Student enrollment reports align with teachers' enrollment records			
Course instruction time reported on the 4483 aligns with the master class		П	
schedule.			
Other:	OCER		
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Provide evidence for the CIP program sections identified by Teacher enrollment records and Master class schedule and Interview with staff	ocir.		
D07 – Added Cost – Placement of CTE Program Completers			
REVIEW CRITERIA: OCTP establishes performance goals pertaining to CTE program	n-related p	lacement	and Districts
analyze program placement data to evaluate the effectiveness of CTE programs.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Students continue education or employment in program-related jobs or training.			
Districts review total and related placement data by district, program, and building;			
and include it in the analysis of the effectiveness of the CTE program.			
Districts share placement information, including related placement, with vocational		П	
instruction staff.			
Districts use placement, including related placement, information to improve			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Provide evidence for all districts identified by OCTP			
One or more of the following: a. Documentation of placement assistance to program completers such as i. Placement coordinator task sheets, ii. Business/industry contact lists, iii. Participation lists for placement/career fairs, iv. Participation agreements for internships, job shadows, mentorships o and	r other woi	·k-based e	xperiences.
Documentation that shows that placement data was reviewed and included in the analysis of the effectiveness of the CTE program by district, program, and building b. Placement data: Written notes, Memos, Interviews with staff showing review and analysis c. Related placement data: Written notes, Memos, Interviews with staff showing review and analysis of data. and Documentation that placement results were shared with appropriate staff (teachers, local administrators, counselors); such as: d. Placement data: Sign-in sheets, Agendas, Handouts, Notes showing review of data e. Related placement data: Sign-in sheets, Agendas, Handouts, Notes showing review of data			
Documentation that placement results were used to improve programs; such as: f. Placement data: Program advisory or development meeting minutes showing g. Related placement data: Program advisory or development meeting minutes s			ta.

F01 - SUPPLEMENT NOT SUPPLANT - CTE Pe	erkins, Tec	ch Prep Perkins,	Added Co	ost	
REVIEW CRITERIA: Funds were used to supplement state	e and local	funds and not su			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS			Yes	No	N/A
a) Local-funded positions have been maintained				Щ	Ц
b) Governing Board endorses a "no supplanting" po				Щ	Ц
c) Local contribution has remained consistent from	grant year	to grant year			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
Financial Policies & Procedures Manual		OCTP Des	sk Audit	of previo	ous year grant
	e	expenditures com			
Doord Police recording your completing	Г	_		•	
Board Policy regarding non-supplanting	L	Interview wit	ii business	Official	
☐ DLEG Community College on-site visit report	[Financial R	eports (i.e.	. Expendi	iture report by
	F	orogram, expense	report by l	ocation)	
OMB Circular A-133, "Single Audit Report"/Local Audits	ts [Other			
one of the order o					
F02- NON CONFLICT OF INTEREST- CTE Perl	·kins Adda	ed Cost			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Funds used to acquire equipment (i			re) did not	ever resu	lt in any direct
financial benefit (1) To any organization representing the					
organization's) employees or affiliates.		F	,,	, (=) = =) (
CRITICAL ELEMENTS			Yes	No	N/A
a) Board policies that prohibit direct financial benefit	fit of both o	of the above.			
b) Process/procedure for equipment purchases.					
c) Other:					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
Financial Policies & Procedures Manual	Invoices.	Appropriate //Requisitions	sign-off	of p	ourchases on
☐ Board Policy on non-conflict of interest	☐ Inter	view with Busin	ess Official		
_					
Policy/procedures for purchase of equipment (i.e. competitive bidding process)	Othe	er			
competitive blading process)					
F03 - EXPENDITURE MONITORING - CTE Per	rking Tool	Dron Parking			
REVIEW CRITERIA: All of the funds made available under			accordance	with room	iraments of the
Act	ici ulis Act	shan be used in a	iccordance	with requ	irements of the
CRITICAL ELEMENTS			Yes	No	N/A
a) Process/procedures for monitoring program ex	xpenditures	s with approved			
budget.	r	TI			
b) Budget system description for separate fund cates	gories.				
c) Fiscal agency monitoring of LEA expenditures &	grant acti	vities			
d) Other					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
Financial Policies & Procedures Manual	☐ Inter	view with Busin	ess Official		
_					
Policies/Procedures for Purchasing		ancial Records/F , expense report l			iture report by
Process/Procedures /Forms used for monitoring		t of Accounts	- j 100mion)	, ,	
reimbursed local agency					
DLEG Community College on-site visit report	Othe	er			
Samples of annual monitoring of reimbursed Local Distric	cts				

F04 - FISCAL CONTROL - CTE Perkins, Tech Prep	Perkins				
REVIEW CRITERIA: Fiscal control and fund accounti		d as nec	essary to	ensure proper	
expenditure of and accounting for Federal and State funds e	expended for CTE				
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A	
a) Policies/procedures for Fund accounting					
b) Monthly or quarterly federal reimbursements ar	re requested from the state			П	
through MEIS		_			
c) 100% federal funded position certification 2 times	s per year		- -		
d) Split-fund position time keeping e) CTE Perkins funded equipment (over \$5,000)	is maintained on a 2 year				
inventory.	is maintained on a 3-year				
f) CTE Perkins funded equipment (over \$5,000) is	s tagged and available for			_	
student use.	s tagged and available for				
g) Other:					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
Financial Policies & Procedures Manual	☐ Interview with Busines	ss Officia	1		
☐ 100% Certification Policy & Procedures Documents	Financial Account bala	nce repo	rts		
Copies of Split Funded Time Sheets	Payroll Documentation	1			
Split Funded Time Sheets policy/procedure document	☐ Invoice/requisitions, purchase orders				
Random classroom visits	☐ Chart of Accounts				
Copies of 100% certification of Federal Funded position	Financial Records				
100% certification of Federal Funded position policy/procedure document	☐ DLEG Community Co	llege on-	site visit re	eport	
Other					
F05- AUDIT TRAIL - CTE Perkins, Tech Prep Perkin					
REVIEW CRITERIA: Clear audit trail for accountability of	revenues and expenses.				
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A	
a) Process/procedures for documentation of revenue			<u> </u>		
b) Process for budget development and implementat	ion		<u> </u>		
c) Records Retention Procedures		<u> </u>	- H		
d) Other: POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
Financial Policies & Procedures Manual	Interview with Busines	ss Officia	1		
Previous Audit Reports	Records Retention Schedule (ex: "The Retention and Disposal of Public School Records")				
☐ DLEG Community College on-site visit report	☐ Chart of Accounts				
Other					

F06 - USE OF FUNDS - Added Cost				
REVIEW CRITERIA: Added Cost funds received by each	h fiscal agency have been u	used in a	ccordance	with approved
department rules.				
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A
 a) Process/procedures for ensuring monitoring that are used only for CTE state-approved programs the department. 				
b) Process/procedures for ensuring/monitoring that a Cost funds received were used for program defined within the Added Cost Guidelines.				
c) Process/procedures for ensuring/monitoring that a for FCS-Parenthood courses were expended only				
d) Process for the prioritization of programs to receiportion of Added Cost funds within the CEPD.	ive the 40% CEPD options			
e) Other:				
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:				
Financial Records for FCS/Parenthood	☐ Interview with CEPD	Financial	Manager	
Single Audit Report (ISD)	Fund account codes fo	r Added	Cost	
Local established policies	☐ CEPD Advisory Comr	nittee me	eting minu	tes
OCTP Desk Audit of Added Cost Expense Report/incidence where added cost rules were violated	☐ Written process for pri	oritizatio	n of CEPD	options
Financial documentation supporting 90% program improvement expenditures	Other			
TOTAL COLUMN CONTENTANTON COLUMN COLU				
F07 - LOCAL CONTRIBUTION - Added Cost				
GRANT INITIATIVE: Added Cost	1 '4 11		1'	
REVIEW CRITERIA: Added Cost funds were matched in a CRITICAL ELEMENTS	ccordance with approved de	Yes	*	N/A
a) Process/procedures for monitoring expenditures contribution requirement as determined by OCT			No	N/A
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:				
Financial Records	☐ Interview with CEPD	Financial	Manager	
Written Policies and Procedures for Added Cost Dollars)	OCTP Desk Audit of	1033 Rep	ort	
F08 - GRADES 11 & 12 - Tech Prep Perkins REVIEW CRITERIA: Tech Prep funds are used to provide in the 11 th grade or above.	vocational and technical ec	lucation 1	programs o	nly to students
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A
a) TP funds are limited to 2+2 aligned activities serv				
b) Secondary TP students are enrolled in grades 11-	12.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
c) Other:				
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:				
Random sample of articulated program class lists with grade of student indicated.	Written Policies/Proparticipating in Tech Prep	ocedures	for grade	es of students
Financial Reports indicating expenditures for aligned/articulated program.	Listing of all TP articu	lated pro	grams.	

F09- ADMINISTRATION EXPENDITURE - Tec	h Prep Perkins			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Administration was no more than 5%	of the total grant expenditu	ires		
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A
a) Process/procedures for budget development and e	expenditure monitoring.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
b) Other:				
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:				
Written policy/procedure for determining and modifying	OCTP desk audit of T and DS 4044	ech Prep	grant, fina	l expenditures,
grant expenditures.				
Financial documentation supporting 5% of expenditures (i	.e. salary records)			
F10 - COORDINATION EXPENDITURE - Tech				
REVIEW CRITERIA: Coordination was no more than 15%	of the total grant allocation.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A
a) Process/procedures for expenditure monitoring.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
b) Other:				
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:	Т			
Written policy/procedure for determining and modifying grant expenditures.	OCTP desk audit of T and DS 4044	ech Prep	grant, fina	l expenditures,
Financial documentation supporting 15% of expenditures	(i.e. salary records)			
F11 - GRADES 9 AND ABOVE - CTE Perkins				
REVIEW CRITERIA: No funds received were used to pro	wide state approved CTF n	rograms to	o etudante	prior to the Oth
grade.	vide state-approved CTE pr	ograms u	o students	prior to the 3
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A
a) All CTE students are in grades 9-12.				
b) Funds are available for state-approved, wage earn	ing CTE programs only.	Ħ		
c) Other:				
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:				
Documentation of which programs/classes received	Random sample of re	imburgad	stata annu	avad along lists
reimbursement from Perkins funds.	with grade of students indi		state-appro	oved class lists
_		icaica.		
Interview with counselors.	Other.			
F12 - STW ACTIVITIES - CTE Perkins				
	. L'	V - 1 /CT	W 0	4
REVIEW CRITERIA: No funds were used to provide fur				
1994, or to carry out, through programs funded under Perk		runaea ur	ider the Si	w Act, unless
those programs serve only eligible participants under the Pe	EIKIIIS ACt.	Yes	No	N/A
a) Procedures ensuring that only eligible CTE st	udants are served by this	1 68	110	IV/A
funding (grades 9-12).	udents are served by this			
b) Other:				
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:				
Random sample of class lists with grade of students indicated.	Response to counselor	interview	v questions	
Other				

F13 - STUDENT CHOICE - CTE Perkins					
REVIEW CRITERIA: No funds were used to a) require stu					
b) require an individual to participate in a CTE program,	, including programs that re	equire att	ainment o	f the federal	lly
funded skill level, standard, or certificate of mastery.					
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A	
a) Career counseling procedures ensuring that no					
choose or pursue a specific career path or major.					
b) Career counseling procedures ensuring that no					
participate in a CTE program, including program				Ш	
of the federally funded skill level, standard, or ce	ertificate of mastery.				
c) Other:					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
Selection/application forms for area center programs.	☐ Interview with counsel	ors and/o	r guidance	class teache	r.
☐ Marketing brochures.	☐ Student career explora	tion/guida	ance mater	ials.	
☐ Interviews with students.					
F14 - ADMINISTRATION EXPENDITURE - CT					
REVIEW CRITERIA: Administration was no more than 5%	of the total grant expenditu	res.			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A	
a) Process/procedures for monitoring and modi	fying grant expenditures				
ensuring no more than 5%.					
b) Other:					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
Financial documentation supporting 5% of expenditures. (i.e. supporting salary records.)	Written policies/p. modifying grant expenditu		for det	ermining a	nd
OCTP desk audit of grant, final expenditures, and DS 4044	ļ				

Grants G01 – CORE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR RELATED-ACTIVITIES						
REVIEW CRITERIA: Vocational and technical education activities were carried						
State-adjusted levels of performance. Regional documentation and tools demon	strate spe	cific measu	rable outcomes for			
individual districts. CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A			
CPI-related <u>activities</u> reported on End-of-Year report were accurate and						
complete.	Ш	Ш				
CPI-related <u>final outcomes</u> to activities reported on End-of-Year report were accurate and complete.						
Provide evidence that measurable outcomes actually occurred in the approved activities in the grant.						
OCTP will identify at least 20% of activities in the EOY that will be reviewed on-site. Priority will be made to selecting activities related to CPI Regional deficiencies, and counselor training/professional development activities. POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Documentation showing evidence that Planned activities took place. Will vary by type of activity – such as: r. Sign-in sheets for professional development, s. Purchased materials, t. Lesson plans, u. Student work and						
Outcomes were achieved as reported, such as: v. Self-report surveys of teachers, w. Parents or students showing changes in perceptions or practices, x. Examples of student work showing change over time.						
Documents showing completion or implementation at participating <u>district</u> level.						
Documents showing completion or implementation at the <u>regional</u> level.						

Г					
Grants					
G02 – CTE PROGRAM EQUITY					
REVIEW CRITERIA: Vocational and technical educ opportunities to individuals without discrimination on disability, or age.					
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	,	Yes	No	N/A	
All students have equal access to CTE programs.					
All students have been included in outreach activities.					
Other:					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE: Provide evidence for all distr One or more of the following:	•				
a. Documents showing in-service activities or v	workshops on diversity, i	ncluding	g gender e	quity;	
b. Material and curricula promoting diversity;			DE.		
c. Counseling/advising and admission policies	demonstrating equal acce	ess to C	l'E progra	ms.	
and					
One or more of the following:					
d. Outreach materials, which <u>may</u> include outre	each brochures videos le	etters or	flvers to r	arents & stud	ents that
provide evidence of equity.	ouch brochares, viacos, i		iiyeis to p	aronis & stad	Jines tilde
e. Posters, booklets, and other items in the major	or languages of the region	n.			
f. Non-discrimination policies, agendas and gu			showing in	nclusion of all	students.
Grants					
G03 - JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING					
REVIEW CRITERIA: Joint planning and coordination	of CTE programs has oc	curred w	ith:		
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A	
The Workforce Development Board					
Education Advisory Committees					
Other interested parties (e.g. public comment)					
Consistent with WDB Strategic Plan					
Other:					
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:					
☐ WDB and EAG Agendas	☐ WDB and EAG Me	eeting M	inutes		
Minutes indicating approval of new CTE program	☐ Public notice of me	eting			
applications	☐ Documents support	ing regi	onal grant	priorities	
☐ The Strategic Planning Document	_				
Other					

Grants							
G04 – ROLES OF STAKEHOLDERS							
REVIEW CRITERIA: Roles of stakeholders are identified	fied and representation	is do	ocumen	ted:			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Υe	es	No	١	N/A	
District Roles							
ISDs Roles							
Advanced Career Academies							
Postsecondary Institutions							
Employers							
Labor representatives							
Other:							
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:							
Documents showing meetings and communication with identified stakeholders (e.g. meeting minutes, agendas, letters, memos)	Strategic plannii WDB-EAG DLI	•			embers	hip ros	ster for year
Other							
Outer							
Grants							-
G05 – EAG REVIEW							
REVIEW CRITERIA: EAGs reviewed the plans and							
EAG has reported its finding and made recommendat	tions to participating ag	enci	ies, WI)B ar	nd depa	rtmen	t
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Ye	es	No	ı	N/A	
EAG Review and approval of grant documents							
EAG recommendation received by participating age	encies.						
Other:							
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:							
☐ Meeting agenda	Attendance roster	der	nonstra	ting	quorun	n	
☐ Meeting minutes	Other						
Documents demonstrating receipt of recommendations of EAG based on review of accountability reports (e.g. letters, memos)							

Grants							
G06 – PROGRAM PLAN FOR SPECIAL P	OPS						
REVIEW CRITERIA: The plan must address how	the region reviews voca	ation	al prog	ran	ns, ider	ntifies	barriers and
adopts strategies to increase success in programs for spec	cial populations.:						
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	s	No)	N/A	
Access barriers for special populations have been i	dentified.						
Strategies to overcome barriers have been developed	ed.						
Strategies to overcome barriers have been implement	ented.						
Other:							
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:							
 Evidence of Implementation of CIP improvement plan strategies related to barriers. OCR Compliance Plan addressing any barriers (if applicable) Special Pops service logs from classroom teachers, SPOPS Coordinator or para-pros. 	Other	essib	ility rep	ort	S		
St Or 5 Coordinator or para-pros.							
Grants G07 – PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT REVIEW CRITERIA: Comprehensive professional de			the fell			2000	1.
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	evelopment was provided	Yes				N/A	
Vocational Vocational		res	S	No)	IN/A	
		+		H		\vdash	
Technical		+		H		\vdash	
Academic Guidance		+		H		\vdash	
		+		H		\vdash	
Administrative		+		H		\vdash	
Other:		Ш		Ш			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:							
Registration/sign-in sheets	New teacher orien	ntatio	on roste	rs			
Proof of attendance at OCTP Updates	Agendas and suppreservices	ort r	naterial	ls fr	om loc	al or 1	regional in-
Agendas from attendance at state and national	Other						

Grants			
G08 – PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FEATURED ARI			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Professional development occurred for teachers, coun	selors and	administra	tors that included:
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Effective teaching skills			
Staying current within their area of specialty			
Internships			
Application of Technology			
Other:			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:			
Registration/sign-in sheets Documentation	of universi	ty credits o	or CEUs
Agendas from attendance at state and national Agendas and s	support ma	terials fro	m local or regional in-
professional development conferences services			•
Other			
Grants			
G09 – REQUIRED CONTENTS OF TECH PREP			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Tech Prep Programs consist of:			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
No less than 2 years of secondary and 2 years of higher education			
Have a common core of required proficiency in math, science, reading writing, communications, and technologies.	,		
Are designed to lead to a degree or certificate in a specific career field.			
Other:			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:			
☐ Current (within one year) valid Articulation ☐ Articulation Ag Agreements between the program and community college(s) and/or 4-year institutions ☐ Curriculum guides at the secondary and post-secondary level showing program alignment ☐ Career Cluster Career			nd reviewed annually
Other			

Grants			
G10 – CTE & TECH PROGRAMS SUPPORT USE OF TECHNO	OLOGY	<i>Y</i>	
REVIEW CRITERIA: Local program reviews demonstrate:			
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Developed, improved, or expanded use of technology in career technical	П	П	
education.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Teacher training to use state-of-the-art technology.			
Students with skills to enter high technology and telecommunications	П	П	
fields.			
Students in internships or mentoring programs with high technology			
industries.			
Other:			
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:			
☐ Documentation of updated curriculum ☐ List of teachers who	attandad	taahnalaa	u in comicos
demonstrating new technologies being utilized in career	attenueu	tecimolog	y III-services.
and technical education.			
List of students participating in internships and Documentation of a	district-w	ide techno	ology plan.
mentoring programs.			
Other			
Grants			
G11 – IMPLEMENTATION OF TECH PREP PROGRAMS			
REVIEW CRITERIA: Evidence that Tech Prep in-service training occurred	for both	secondar	y and postsecondary
teacher including:	101 0001	secondar	y and postsecondary
CRITICAL ELEMENTS	Yes	No	N/A
Effective implementation of tech prep programs			
Joint training for teachers in the consortium	Ħ	Ħ	
Training to stay current	Ħ	Ħ	
Focused on training postsecondary faculty in the use of contextual and			
applied curricula and instruction	Ш	Ш	
Training in the use of technology	П	П	
Other:		Ē	
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:			<u> </u>
Agendas and materials from training programs for Attendance rosters of	of in-servi	ce training	<u> </u>
Secondary/Post-Secondary			
Other			

Grants						
G12 – COUNSELOR TRAINING RE: TECH PREP						
REVIEW CRITERIA: Counselor training has included	how to:					
CRITICAL ELEMENTS		Yes	No	N/A		
Effectively provide information to students regarding	g tech prep programs.					
Provide information on related employment opportu	ınities.					
Ensure that students are placed in appropriate emplo	oyment.					
Help counselors stay current with the needs exp business and all aspects of an industry.	ectations and methods of					
Other:						
POSSIBLE EVIDENCE:						
Printed or visual media/web information training documentation.	g Tech Prep course descriptions and alignment of programs between secondary and postsecondary					
☐ Labor market information training documentation.	☐ Placement options training documentation.					
Attendance at professional development workshops documentation.	Liaison roles with Michigan Works agencies and other community connections training documentation.					
Internship experiences with employer's documentation.	Other					

Less Than Class Size L01- PROGRAM STAFF: APPROPRIATELY CERTIFIED TEACHER/TRAINER					
Possible Evidence:					
Copies of training	gagreements	Annual authorization			
☐ Training plans		License/Industry Certifications			
☐ Guidelines for Le	ss Than Class Size CTE Programs	License/moustry Certifications			
Name of Instructor (employed by training site):					
Social Security Number:					
Current valid Michigan teaching certificate:					
LTCS Annual Authorization (required) Licensure (if applicable)					
	Certification (if applicable) lertification Number:	Expiration Date:			
		•			
Less Than Class Si L02 - LTCS DESIG		RDINATOR (employed by school district)			
Possible Evidence:					
☐ Copies of teaching credentials					
License/Industry certifications					
☐ Transcripts					
Name of LTCS Designated Coordinator:					
List Credentials:					
License:					
License.					
Expiration Date:					
Less Than Class Si	ze TATION OF STANDARDS/CO	DURSE CONTENT			
	TATION OF STANDARDS/CO	JORGE CONTENT			
Required Evidence:					
Training plan outlin					
Course syllabi/currie	culum guides				
Optional Evidence:					
Student portfolios, including EDPs Comiculum is based Alignment to National Career Clusters Preparation for program specific job titles					
Curriculum is based on and reflects:	Alignment to State Program Stand	ards Preparation for post-high school placement			
(all are required)	Industry Standards	Michigan Career and Employability Standards			

Less Than Class Size				
L04 – COURSE INSTRUCTIONAL TIME				
Possible Evidence:				
☐ Time/Attendance Sheets ☐ Training Agreements				
Minimum Minutes: 7,200 - except 14,400 for EMIT and Arts & Communications.	☐ 7,200 minutes ☐ 14,400 minutes ☐ More than 14,400 minutes			
Less Than Class Size				
L05 - SUCCESSFUL COMPLETER INFORMATION				
Possible Evidence:				
 □ Written program completer policy □ Process used by LTCS Coordinator to identify student completers □ Describe criteria: 				

On-site Visit Interview Questions

CIP Program On-site Visit

- CTE Instructor
- Student

Region On-site Visit

- Special Populations Coordinator
- Counselor(s) / WBL Placement Personnel
- CTE Administrator(s)
- CTEIS User or Contact
- CTE Follow-Up
- Business/Financial Officer
- CI Coordinator, CTE Administrator, Tech Prep Coordinator

Career and Technical Education CIP Program On-site Visit Program Consultant Interview Questions CTE Instructor

Implementation of Standards/Course Content

1. What is taught in your course/program?

<u>Professional Development</u>

2. What professional development related to your content area have you participated in the last two years?

Recommended Equipment

3. Do you have what you need to deliver a quality program?

Established Advisory Committee

4. Describe your advisory committee and their activities.

Completer Policy – (not applicable to FCS)

5. What is your grading system and what is the average student GPA for your class?

Expenditure of Funds

6. How do you use state/federal funding for your program?

Curriculum Michigan Curriculum Frameworks

7. What are you doing to assure academic achievement for your students?

<u>Program Level Data – (not applicable to FCS)</u>

8. Do you know what Core Performance Indicators are?

Program Access

9. What do you do to encourage enrollment and completion for students who are of the opposite gender to most of your students (non-traditional)?

Career and Technical Student Organization Participation

10. Tell me about your involvement with CTSO?

Work-based Learning – (not applicable to FCS)

11. How are work-based learning experiences incorporated into your program?

Successful Completer Information – (not applicable to FCS)

12. How does your district track your completers (what are they doing after graduation)?

Postsecondary – Secondary Alignment/Linkages – (not applicable to FCS)

13. Have you worked on an articulation agreement with a postsecondary institution for this program?

Unique Program Features

14. What would you like us to know about this program?

Content Specific Question

15. Content specific question designed by consultant staff for their specialty area.

Career and Technical Education CIP Program On-site Visit Program Consultant Interview Questions Student

Implementation of Standards/Course Content

1. Can you describe what you do/learn in this class?

Strategies to Eliminate Barriers to Program Access

2. How did you find out about this program?

Work-based Learning – (optional for FCS)

3. What work-based learning experiences have you participated in?

Career and Technical Student Organization Participation

4. Are you aware of or have you participated in a CTSO?

Postsecondary – Secondary Alignment/Linkages – (optional for FCS)

5. Are you aware of any college credit available if you complete this program and go to a local college?

Unique Program Features

- 6. What do you especially like about this program?
- 7. What are your career goals?
- 8. If you could change something about the program, what would it be?
- 9. Has the (math, science, English, social studies) from this program helped you with your general studies?

Grant Interview Questions Special Populations Coordinator

<u>Grants – Program Plan for Special Pops</u>

1. How do you learn which students have been identified as doing poorly on the MEAP? What support services are available for these students?

Grants – Program Plan for Special Pops, Grants – Program Equity

2. What types of support are available for students pursuing a non-traditional Career area?

<u>Grants – Program Equity</u>

3. Are there any pre-requisites for enrolling in CTE courses in your district? At the Career Center?

Grants- Program Plan for Special Pops

4. What type of follow up is done if a student drops a CTE class? What reasons do they give for not completing?

Grants – Program Plan for Special Pops, Grants – Program Equity

5. What barriers do you encounter in placing students in CTE and how do you work to overcome those barriers?

<u>Grants – Program Plan for Special Pops</u>

6. How do you identify students needing remediation or support services? How do you follow up with these students?

<u>Grants – Program Plan for Special Pops, Grants – Program Equity</u>

7. What is the process for providing information to your CTEIS data entry user regarding student special population status? (Special Pop's. are more expansive than "special ed." students, including economically disadvantaged, nontraditional students, etc.)

Grants – Program Plan for Special Pops

- 8. What services are provided for special populations at your building, local district, and/or regional basis?
- 9. Any additional questions as a result of document review.

Grant Interview Questions Counselor(s) and

Work-Based Learning Placement Personnel Interview Questions

Grants - Program Equity

Data - Private/Non-profit student participation

1. How are all students including private non-profit schools made aware of CTE program opportunities through your career development activities?

Financial – Student Choice

- 2. Under what circumstances or criteria are students advised to enroll in classes/pursue CTE?
- 3. How is it determined if a student goes into a CTE program and what CTE program the student enters?
- 4. Are students ever required to participate in a CTE program, including programs that require attainment of the federally funded skill level standard, or certificate of mastery?

Data – CTE Activities CPI

5. What is the process used to encourage students to take the MEAP?

Grants – Program Equity

6. Are there any pre-requisites for enrolling in CTE courses in your district? At the Career Center?

Grants- Program Plan for Special Pops

7. What type of follow up is done if a student drops a CTE class? What reasons do they give for not completing?

Grants - Program Plan for Special Pops, Grants - Program Equity

8. What barriers do you encounter in placing students in CTE and how do you work to overcome those barriers?

<u>CIP – CIP Self Review WBL</u>

- 9. Describe the Work Based Learning opportunities in your CEPD available to students in state approved CTE program LTCS, Capstone, etc.
- 10. How do you evaluate appropriate and safe worksites for these Work Based Learning placements?
- 11. Any additional questions as a result of document review.

Data, Evaluation, & Accountability Interview Questions CTE Administrator/Director

- 1. What is your process for sharing data between the SRSD and the CTEIS?
- 2. What is the process for providing information to the CTEIS user regarding student special population(s) status?

Data Accuracy Verification

- 3. How do you insure that all student information is secure? (FERPA)
- 4. What processes and procedures do you have in place to back up your CTE data?
- 5. How do you assure business licenses (for contracted programs) are current?

Inclusion

6. In comparison to CTE programs statewide: - what process is established to assure representation of all groups?

<u>Program Completion Status – (CPI 1S1, 1S2, 2S1, 3S1,4S2)</u>

- 7. Who determines if a student has completed a program?
- 8. What criteria are used to determine that a student has completed a program?
- 9. What is the process for providing info to the CTEIS user regarding student completion status?

Academic Achievement – (CPI 1S1)

10. What information are you provided about your students MEAP results, who has taken MEAP tests, how many they have taken, etc?

10a. If not, what actions have you taken to gain access to MEAP results?

11. Do you identify who did poorly on MEAP tests?

- Appendix J 12. What efforts have been made to encourage students to take/retake the MEAP? 13. What support services are available for students who have been identified as doing poorly on the MEAP? CO-OP (Work Based Learning) 14. What process do you use to determine student eligibility for Co-Op? Exit Status (1S1, 1S2, 2S1, 3S1) 15. What is the process for determining exit status? **CTSO** 16. Do you operate a CTSO? CTE Perkins – (CPI 3S1) 17. How do you maintain confidentiality for CTE Follow-up survey responses? 18. Who conducts the CTE Follow-up survey? 19. What kind of training do interviewers receive? 20. Do you have a copy of the CTE Follow-up Interview Protocol Manual? 21. How many times do interviewers attempt to reach students for follow-up? 22. How do you obtain current phone numbers for Follow-up purposes? 23. Do instructors share information about follow-up with students?
- 24. How is information (particularly related placement) from the CTE follow-up survey disseminated/used for program improvement?
- 25. That professional development or information on the evaluations have you provided your CTE instructors?

Teacher Certification

26. What is your process for providing information to the CTEIS user regarding teacher certification information?

Data, Evaluation, & Accountability Interview Questions CTEIS User or Contact

- 1. Does the fiscal agency import student data from its district database?
- 2. Who is your SRSD Coordinator?
- 3. What is your process for sharing data between the SRSD and CTEIS?
- 4. Have you used the OCTP CTEIS Data Code Manual? Was it helpful? (Recommendations)

Data Accuracy/Verification

- 5. What is your process for collecting course information?
- 6. What is your process for collecting student enrollment information?
- 7. How do you insure that all student information is secure? (FERPA)
- 8. What processes and procedures do you have in place to back up your CTE data?

Program Completion Status

- 9. What is the process for you to obtain student completion status?
- 10. How do you obtain current phone numbers for follow-up purposes?

Academic Achievement

- 11. Do you input MEAP results into your data system?
- 12. Do you receive information or maintain records showing which students receive remediation or support services?

COOP/Capstone (Work Based Learning)

- 13. What is the process for you to obtain information on student eligibility for Co-op?
- 14. Where do you enter data concerning student enrollment in Co-op?

Exit Status (1S1, 1S2, 2S1, 3S1)

15. What is the process for you to obtain exit status information?

Special Populations

16. How do you receive information regarding student special population status?

Teacher Certification

17. What is the process for you to obtain teacher certification information?

Data, Evaluation, & Accountability Interview Questions CTE Follow-Up Staff

1.	How do you keep the follow up information secure?
2.	What processes and procedures do you have in place to back up your student data for follow up purposes the following year?
3.	How do you maintain confidentiality for CTE Follow-up survey responses?
4.	Who conducts the CTE Follow-up survey?
5.	What kind of training do interviewers receive?
6.	Do you have a copy of the CTE Follow-up Interview Protocol Manual?
7.	How many times do interviewers attempt to reach students for follow-up?
8.	How do you obtain current phone numbers for Follow-up purposes?
9.	Do instructors share information about follow-up with students?
	.How is information (particularly related placement) from the CTE follow-up survey lisseminated/used for program improvement?

Financial Interview Questions Business/Financial Officer

Fiscal Control

1. Describe your purchasing policies and procedures from requisition to distribution of items received. Who signs requisitions and purchase orders? May I see an example of charging item to appropriate account?

Expenditure Monitoring (F03)

- 2a. How are expenditures monitored against regional budget? What reports are used?
- 2b. How often are expenditures monitored?

Fiscal Control

3. How often are CTE Perkins and Tech Prep federal funds drawn down from the Michigan Education Information System (MEIS)?

(F04)

4a. Are any personnel positions for which grant funds are used funded with more than one source of funding? Is there a written procedure for keeping split-funded time sheets? Example.

(F04)

4b. Are any personnel positions 100% federally funded? Is there a written procedure for twice a year verification of federal effort?

Supplement, Not supplant (F01)

5. Have any local-funded personnel positions been changed to federal funding, all or partial?

Expenditure Monitoring (F03)

6. How are fiscal agency reimbursements to LEA's monitored? How often?

Related to Added Cost Only – Use of funds and Local Contribution (F06 & F07)

7. How do you plan during the year to ensure that 90% of added cost funds are going to program improvement and that the local contribution requirement will be met at the end of the fiscal year?

CI Grants Interview Questions CI Coordinator, CTE Administrator, Tech Prep Coordinator

Joint Regional Planning, Regional Plan Development (G03)

1. Describe the CI region leadership process used for the past three years to determine student's needs and grant activities to be done each year.

Regional Plan Development (G03, G04, G05)

2. How do you involve employers in regional coordination/planning?

Required Contents of Tech Prep, Implementation of Tech Prep Programs (G09)

3. How do you involve your postsecondary partners in planning and coordinating Tech Prep programs?

Regional Plan Development (G01, G03, G05)

- 4. Describe how you establish priority for funding/activities in CTE and Tech Prep programs. How do you consider:
 - Core Performance Indicator Data? (Roles of Stakeholders
 - Regional Workforce Needs? (EAG Review, EAG Recommendation)

Documentation of Outcomes (G01, G03, G05)

- 5. What process do you use for monitoring the grant activities submitted in the Career Initiatives Application?
- 6. **CIP Program Improvement Plans (C01-C18)**

Describe how you review and monitor for completion all CIP Program Improvement Plans.

State Criteria/Process for Continued Approval For On-Going Career and Technical Education Programs

Description of Data Gathered at the State Level (over a 3-year period)

- The approved CTE program has shown improvement in CTE student achievement by program area (evidence: 1S1/1S2).
- The approved CTE program is serving all populations in proportion to agency enrollments. (Evidence: enrollment demographics from CTEIS).
- The approved CTE program has met core performance indicator levels for placement of completers. (Evidence: 3S1).
- The approved CTE program has not exceeded acceptable class size based on enrollment records. (Evidence: X-0108 and square footage requirements for the specific program area).
- The approved CTE program is viable as evidenced by three years of stable or increasing enrollments (evidence: X-0108).
- The approved CTE program has conducted a program self review at least once in the past five-year period.

PRIORITY FINDING REQUIREMENTS

For Priority I and Priority II, if the deficiency is not corrected within the designated time frame, programs will lose state CTE program approval status. The loss of approved status will end the access to state and federal funds administered by the Office of Career and Technical Preparation. This may result in recapture of current year funding.

Priority I – Major Deficiency

After receipt of Letter of Findings, the region will attend a Compliance Plan Workshop and their Compliance Plan will be due 60 days after the Compliance Plan Workshop.

Correction/implementation are required no later than 60 days post Compliance Plan approval. Region must send a copy of evidence to OCTP and maintain the original on file.

Priority II – Deficiency

After receipt of Letter of Findings, the region will attend a Compliance Plan Workshop and their Compliance Plan will be due 60 days after the Compliance Plan Workshop.

Correction/implementation is required no later than one year from the date OCTP approval of the compliance plan.

Priority	
Level	CIP Program Criteria
I	The approved CTE program is taught by a state certificated teacher with vocational endorsement for the related CTE program area (evidence: documentation of credentials).
Ι	The approved CTE program meets state requirements for instructional class time (minimum number of minutes of instruction per school year).
I	The approved CTE program has spent all Added cost funding received on state approved expenditures.
II	The approved CTE program has a safe environment and is free of other safety issues (evidence: records of safety training and availability of proper safety equipment for teachers and students, documentation of policies and procedures).
II	The appropriate Regulatory Agency has not received complaints regarding the approved CTE program (i.eFamily Independence Agency, Consumer and Industry Services, Office of Civil Rights, MIOSHA, Center for Disease Control, State Board of Education).
II	The approved CTE program has classrooms/laboratories with adequate facilities to deliver the curriculum (space issues/accessibility/building-level approvals/physical environment and equipment) (evidence: detailed floor plans/photos/video tapes/virtual tours).
II	The approved CTE program curriculum complies with currently recognized state/national program standards for its program/course area (evidence: curriculum maps, lesson plans, satisfactory program review, on-site monitoring)
FCS:	FCS ONLY:
I	Family Consumers Sciences Program must teach Parenthood Education and a minimum of three other state approved FCS courses.
II	The approved CTE Program Advisory Committee meets state guidelines (Administrative Guide) (evidence: membership rosters with organizations/affiliations they represent/roles, agendas and minutes).
II	The approved CTE program staff participates in related professional development or state-sponsored updates related to the CTE program (evidence: record of attendance at professional development, CEUs, certificates of participation).
II	The approved CTE program meets the recommended guidelines for adequate technology available for student and instructor use.
II	The approved CTE secondary program participating in Tech Prep has a Tech Prep articulation agreement and aligned curriculum with a post secondary partner which is reflected in a 2+2 plan allowing seamless transition to the post-secondary occupational program.
II	The approved CTE Wage-Earning program includes a work based learning component.

Frequently Asked Questions

TRAC On-Site Visit

- 1. Is it required that the Chief Business/Financial Officer be interviewed or can an accountant be substituted?
 - It is not required but it is very helpful to speak with the Chief Business/Financial Officer at least once during the on-site visit.
- 2. Following the on-site visit, will the Program Improvement Plan (IP) need to be completed for the "Action Required" items?
 - No. An On-Site TRAC Compliance Plan will need to be completed. This form will be provided at the Compliance Plan Workshop.
- 3. How far back in time will you be looking at documents during the on-site visit? We will look at the most recent documentation that meets the criteria. Minimally one year. Usually no more than three years back.
- 4. During the OCTP on-site visits will you examine only those programs that have completed the program self review?
 - No. OCTP will choose the sites to visit. Each consultant will visit 3-4 programs. There are normally four consultants available to visit programs, so approximately 12-16 programs will be visited in the region. The programs chosen are those we believe can benefit most from our visits.

TRAC CIP Self Review

- 5. Does the professional development requirement need to reflect a relationship to the content taught?

 Yes.
- 6. Should the work-based learning count at class or program level? Program level.
- 7. Only one work-based learning experience or throughout the program? Each student should have at least one work-based experience in each program.
- 8. Are contracted programs required to fill out a CIP Self Review? Yes.
- 9. When did work-based learning become a requirement and where is it referenced? This item was discussed with the TRAC advisory group. It was decided that the expanded definition, which included field trips and job shadowing, should be a requirement for a quality program.

- 10. Would a field trip to the community college qualify as a work-based learning experience? Only if it is specific to the occupational program. We work with districts whose union contracts prohibit extra curricular activities by staff. This limits their ability to be CTSO Advisors. CTSO activities can be intra-curricular.
- 11. How would you document the leadership activities within the class?

 CTSO involvement is documented through meeting minutes, and membership rosters. Other leadership activities should have similar evidence available.
- 12. Should we compute the 20% based on number of programs or buildings? Program Serial Number (PSN) available on the X0107 (Secondary Funding Report).
- 13. How do we decide which programs to include in the 20%? This is a regional/local decision.
- 14. Is annual authorization considered a teaching certificate?

 No, although not a teaching certificate, it does meet the criteria required for a properly vocationally authorized teacher, and the box can be checked "yes".
- 15. During the OCTP on-site reviews will you examine only those programs that have completed the program self review?

 No, OCTP will target the sites that we believe can benefit most from our visits.
- 16. Does the small school rule still apply for Parenthood Education at schools with total enrollment 9-12 of less than 500 students? (FCS Only)

 Yes, for programs housed in schools with total 9-12 enrollment of less than 500 students, the CTE administrator may request the small school exclusion. If granted, the district must operate a total of four content strands over each 2-year period.
- 17. Will you accept alignment to national standards, i.e., industry standards (NATEF, AWF)? Can we get a list?

 This will be determined on a case-by-case basis by the program consultant. There is no comprehensive list currently available.
- 18. Where will "All aspects of the Industry" be reviewed?

 The CIP Self-Review broadly addresses "All Aspects of Industry."
- 19. How does the OCTP targeting /desk audit relate to regional CIP program reviews? The CIP Self-Review Summary reports are reviewed as part of the targeting and desk audit process.
- 20. How does a region determine the number of programs to be reviewed? The number of CIP Self-Reviews to be done in one year is determined by multiplying the number of programs (identified by PSN, not course) by 20%.

21. Who should complete a CIP Self-Review packet?

<u>For Wage-Earning CTE programs</u>, CIP Program Self-Reviews are to be completed for each CTE <u>course within the program</u>. Each teacher who teaches a course within the CTE program needs to complete a CIP Self-Review. If a teacher teaches more than one course within the program, they only complete one CIP Self-Review. They are to attach pertinent information for additional courses to this review.

For Non-Wage Earning Family and Consumer Sciences (FCS) CTE programs, courses are not stand alone; together they comprise a non-wage earning program (identified by a PSN). <u>Under one PSN</u>, only one CIP Program Self-Review packet must be completed for the FCS program.

If there are multiple teachers, they should collaborate in the completion of this packet. Each should contribute relevant evidence for their part of the program. All teachers must provide the teacher certification evidence and attach to the one CIP Program Self-Review.

- 22. In classroom labs, should space taken up by equipment be counted in the square footage or should they be excluded?
 - Equipment counts toward square footage, but not lockers or storage areas (unless a part of the program curriculum—i.e., marketing).
- 23. What if the teacher of record is on leave during the period of collecting this data? A different program should be selected. All CTE programs and teaching staff must complete a CIP Self-Review within a five-year period.
- 24. Do we have to do CIP Self-Review for Less Than Class Size programs?

 No, LTCS programs are supposed to be equivalent to class size programs. On-site we look at documentation for the LTCS programs.
- 25. What paperwork do the Less Than Class Size programs need to have in place?

 Only paperwork already required. The region will be notified if we are going to review the Less Than Class Size programs during the on-site visit so they can prepare the documentation.

TRAC Post On-Site Visit Process

